

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/464,298	12/15/1999	PETER T. LARSEN	042390.P7833	7343
7590 01/21/2004			EXAMINER	
LESTER J VI	NCENT	TRAN, DENISE		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN				
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7TH FLOOR			2186	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			2700	
	,		DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/464,298	LARSEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Denise Tran	2186				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reph period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 D	ecember 2003.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38-43</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38-43</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
a) 13)□ / s 3 4 14)□ /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language processes and the company of the foreign language processes are company of the first sentence of the company of the company of the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document application of the certified copies of the priority document application application of the priority document application a	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicate ority documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not receive itc priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ast sentence of the specification of covisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachmer							
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>8</u> . Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The applicant's amendment's filed 12/31/03 has been considered. Claims 1-37 have been canceled. Claims 38-43 are presented for examination.
- 2. The indicated allowability of claims 38-43 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Manning, U.S. Patent No. 5,729,503. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Manning, U.S. Patent No. 5,729,503.

As per claim 38, Manning teaches a method comprising:

receiving a command to enter a fast program mode to program a first piece of data at a first address (e.g., col. 11, line 65; page 5, lines 15-25; col. 4, lines 50-68; col. 8, lines 10-15);

entering into said fast program mode (e.g., page 5, lines 15-25; col. 8, lines 10-15);

Art Unit: 2186

programming said first piece of data at said first address in response to a write signal (e.g., col. 4, lines 62-65 and col. 7, lines 7-10);

checking whether termination of said fast program mode is indicated or if a second piece of data is to be written (e.g., col. 5, line 40 to col. 6, line 2; col. 8, lines 10-15), wherein said checking further comprises detecting if an incoming address is different from said first address (e.g., col. 14, lines 31-35);

exiting said fast program mode if said termination of said fast program mode is indicated (e.g., col. 5, line 40 to col. 6, line 2; col. 8, lines 10-15), else incrementing said first address to a second address (e.g., col. 4, line 59 to col. 5, line 5); and

programming said second piece of data at said second address in response to another write signal (e.g., col. 4, line 59 to col. 5, line 5 and col. 7, lines 7-10).

5. Claims 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manning, U.S. Patent No. 5,729,503, as applied to claim 38 above, in view of Applicant's admitted prior art, the current specification pages 1-4 and figs 1-2,

As per claims 39-40, AAPA teaches receiving a confirmation command prior to entering said program mode (e.g., page 3, line 2 to page 4, line 10); issuing a status value to indicate a status for said programming of said first piece of data (e.g., page 2, line 22 to page 3, line 5).

6. Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manning, U.S. Patent No. 5,729,503, as applied to claim 38 above, in view of

Art Unit: 2186

Applicant's admitted prior art, the current specification pages 1-4 and figs 1-2, and further in view of Walukas, US 6,229,737.

As per claim 41, Manning teaches wherein indication said termination comprising receiving an address unequal to said first address and a predefined data (i.e., an address of a next write and data, e.g., col. 5, line 40 to col. 6, line 2; col. 8, lines 10-15). Manning and AAPA do not explicitly show the use of packet. Walukas shows the use of data packet (e.g., col. 4, lines 1-2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Walukas into the system of Manning and AAPA because it would increase data programming speed and efficiency.

7. Claims 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manning, U.S. Patent No. 5,729,503, as applied to claim 38 above, in view of Applicant's admitted prior art, the current specification pages 1-4 and figs 1-2, and in view of Walukas, US 6,229,737, and further in view of James et al. U.S. Patent No. 5, 966, 723 (hereinafter James).

As per claims 42-43, as discussed above Manning and AAPA do not explicitly show the use of data packets. Walukas show the use of data packet (e.g., col. 4, lines 1-2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Walukas into the system of Manning and AAPA because it would increase data programming speed and efficiency.

Art Unit: 2186

Manning, AAPA, and Walukas do not explicitly show wherein said predefined data packet is comprised of all 1's or all 0's. James shows wherein said predefined data is comprised of all 1's or all 0's (e.g., fig. 5, els. 173, 175 and col. 11, lines 48-49). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of James into the system of Manning, AAPA, and Walukas because it would increase speed, throughput, and flexibility of programming memory device.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Mailloux et al. (US 2002/0133665 A1) is cited to show method for programming data in a burst mode.;

Fisher et al. (4620277) shows comparing addresses;

Manning (5963504) shows performing high speed burst write mode and detecting address transition.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Denise Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-9823. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Thursday, and an alternate Wednesday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3821. The fax phone numbers for

Henryan

Art Unit: 2186

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 7467-239 for Official communications, (703) 746-7240 for Non Official communications, and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Denise Tran

1/17/03